





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	о.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/610,461		07/05/2000	Juha Ojanpera	460-009524-US(PAR)	4189
2512	7590	05/28/2004		EXAMINER	
PERMAN	· -	EN	OPSASNICK,	OPSASNICK, MICHAEL N	
425 POST ROAD FAIRFIELD, CT 06824				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2655	17
			DATE MAILED: 05/28/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/610,461	OJANPERA, JUHA					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Michael N. Opsasnick	2655					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 26 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request for application in condition for allowance because: So	r reconsideration has been cons ee Continuation Sheet.	idered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims we	nt(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b vould be rejected is provided belo)□ will be entered and an ow or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-39</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	.					
10. Other: Vizery Shaw 5/27/04							
		VIJAY CHAWAN PRIMARY EXAMINER					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

hjay Pstrawer 5/27/04

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the pitch predictive order using coding efficiency, examiner argues that the pre-selector chooses the pitch according to improving the efficiency of the system (in terms of memory storage); as per the arguments that the calculation in Yasunaga is different, examiner notes that the applicant is arguing the specification, and not the scope of the claim language. Examiner also notes that if the claim language was amended to specify more of the calculation, the Yasunaga reference would be overcome.

VIJAY CHAWAN PRIMARY EXAMINER